Document 92 3457

Filed 10/10/24 Page 1 of 2 PageID #:

RECEIVED U.S. DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

OCT 1 0 2024

you are done.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA MONROE DIVISION

KAYLA D LOYD	CASE NO. 3:22-CV-05809
VERSUS	JUDGE EDWARDS
CITY OF RUSTON ET AL	MAG. JUDGE KAYLA D. MCCLUSKY
JURY	Y VERDICT FORM
Has Plaintiff Kayla Loyd proven by a pre	eponderance of the evidence that:
1. Defendant's decision not to transfer	her to the Criminal Investigation Division was an advers
employment action?	
YES	NO
If you answered "YES" to question 1, pro	oceed to question 2. If you answered "NO" to question 1
you are done.	
2. Plaintiff Kayla Loyd would have be	een transferred to the Criminal Investigation Division i
she were not female?	
YES	NO
If you answered "YES" to question 2, pro	oceed to question 4. If you answered "NO" to question 2
in your and it is not question in private the private that it is a second in the private that it is a second in the private that it is a second in the privat	
go to question 3.	
go to question 3.	tivating factor in Defendant's decision not to transfer he
go to question 3.	tivating factor in Defendant's decision not to transfer he

4.	What amount of damages, if any, do y	ou find will fairly and adequately compensate	Pla	untı
	for the losses she sustained as a result	of sex discrimination?		
	DAMAGES	\$	-	
		Jury Foreperson	١	١
		Date		